|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| CCUF/DC | Page 38 | Concept maps | 3.10 | ED | “assurance level, AL” is already sufficient covered by EAL. | Remove definition |  |
| CCUF/DC | Page 38 | Concept maps | 3.14 | ED | “authentication data” is just an example of TSF data (3.170, Fig 5), so can just be covered at point of use in the standard. | Remove definition |  |
| CCUF/DC | Page 38 | Concept maps | 3.24 | TE | “coherent” is used in (multiple) work units, so needs to either be included in definitions or provide guidance in methodology. To avoid repetition, definition would be the preference | Add this to Evaluation verbs, under “What” |  |
| CCUF/DC | Page 32 | Composite Evaluation | Fig 10 | TE | The following items should be added to this figure as they all relate to composition:   * 3.25 compatible * 3.91 functional interface * 3.109 layering | TBC |  |
| CCUF/DC | Page 38 | Concept maps | 3.26 | ED | Dictionary definition applies for “complete” so no further definition required, as it should be clear by context. | Remove definition |  |
| CCUF/DC | Page 31 Page 13 | Vulnerability analysis | Fig 9 and/or Fig 5 | TE | Perhaps this concept diagram should be extended to include the types of attack methods, including:   * 3.52 covert channel * 3.114 monitoring attacks   But also:   * brute force, * direct, * bypass (which could then also cover 3.115 non-bypassability)   Alternatively (or as well) the TOE concept diagram (fig 5) could add a TOE architecture/construction/protection element that describe principles such as   * 3.67 security domains * 3.142 domain separation * 3.115 non-bypassability * 3.172TSF self-protection   And could also then link to the attack methods | TBC |  |
| CCUF/DC | Page 39 | Concept maps | 3.95 | ED | “Identity” seems to be an example of a "security attribute" of an external entity, as per TOE concept figure (fig 5), so doesn’t need further explanation | Remove definition |  |
| CCUF/DC | Page 39      Page 40 | Concept maps | 3.100  3.101  3.104  3.111  3.125  3.126  3.151  3.163  3.166 | ED | These are all used as per the OED, and/or clear within context | Remove definitions |  |
| CCUF/DC | Page 40 | Concept maps | 3.112 | ED | “evaluation methodology” is sufficiently covered by evaluation method (although often used to specifically refer to the ISO18045) | Remove definitions |  |